



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/998,385	11/29/2001	Bryan Jeffery Moles	SAMS01-00176	6977
7590	06/21/2005		EXAMINER PHAM, TUAN	
Docket Clerk P.O. Drawer 800889 Dallas, TX 75380			ART UNIT 2643	PAPER NUMBER
DATE MAILED: 06/21/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/998,385

Applicant(s)

MOLES, BRYAN JEFFERY

Examiner

TUAN A. PHAM

Art Unit

2643

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 March 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,7,8,13 and 14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 3-6,9-12,15-18 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Response to Arguments*

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. **Claims 1-2, 7-8, and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Isberg et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 6,246,891, hereinafter, "Isberg") in view of Martin et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 5,983,119, hereinafter, "Martin") and further in view of Kurakata (JP 363082104A).**

**Regarding claims 1, 7, and 13**, Isberg teaches a method and a wireless mobile station comprising (see figure 1):

a radio circuitry capable of up-converting a baseband signal to produce an output RF signal (see figure 1, radio circuitry 10, col.2, ln.10-12);

a removable antenna coupled to the radio circuitry for transmitting the output RF signal (see figure 1, removable antenna 2, radio circuitry 10, col.2, ln.15-20);

a power supply capable of supplying power to the radio circuitry (see figure 1, power 13, radio circuitry 10, col.2, ln.28-34); and

the removable antenna capable of providing a first conduction path between the power supply and the radio circuitry (see figure 1, removable antenna 2, power 13, col.2, ln.28-33), such that when the removable antenna is connected to the wireless mobile station, the first conduction path is closed and power is supplied to the radio circuitry from the power supply (see figure 1, when the antenna does not remove from the mobile, the power will supply to the radio circuitry and the phone is active) and when the antenna is disconnected from the wireless mobile station, the first conduction path is opened and the power is not supplied to the radio circuitry from the power supply (see figure 1, when the antenna remove from the mobile, the switch 12 is turn off, then there is no power supply to the radio circuitry and the phone is inactive, col.2, ln.6-38).

It should be noticed that Isberg fails to clearly teach the antenna couple to the transceiver. However, Martin teaches such features (see figure 2, antenna 120, transceiver 114, col.3, ln.64-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Martin, into view of Isberg in order to transmit and receive RF signals.

Isberg and Martin, in combination, fails to teach a first conductor. However, Kurakata teaches such feature (see figure 2, conductor 7).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Kurakata into view of Isberg and Martin in order to electrically connect the antenna with the battery when the antenna inserted to the mobile.

**Regarding claims 2, 8 and 14**, Isberg further teaches the wireless mobile station wherein disconnection of the removable antenna from the wireless mobile station is highly visible to an observer (see figure 1, removable antenna 2, lid 3, col.2, ln.21-27).

***Allowable Subject Matter***

4. Claims 3-6, 9-12, and 15-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

***Conclusion***

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2643

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Tuan A. Pham** whose telephone number is (571) 272-8097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-8097 and

**IF PAPER HAS BEEN MISSED FROM THIS OFFICIAL ACTION PACKAGE, PLEASE CALL Customer Service at (571) 272-2600 FOR THE SUBSTITUTIONS OR COPIES.**

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have question on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 2643  
June 15, 2005  
Examiner

Tuan Pham

  
CURTIS KUNTZ  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600